

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,210	12/09/2003	B. Thomas Barker	4002-	4618
7590 05/29/2007 Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP Bank One Center/Tower			EXAMINER	
			SWIGER III, JAMES L	
Suite 3700	Circle		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	napolis, IN 46204-5137		3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/731,210	BARKER ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		James L. Swiger	3733		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 March 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 44-58 and 69-76 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 44-58 and 69-76 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12/9/2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/12/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 44-45, 47, 49-53, and 55-56, and 69-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sherman et al. (US Patent 5,797,911).

Sherman et al. disclose an implant apparatus having a receiver member (12) have an upper and lower portions (see Fig. 2), and a channel transverse to and communicating with said upper portion (see Fig. 2, and central cross-mark to represent the transverse channel, and an interior groove (36). Sherman et al. also disclose a retaining member (45) having an inner and outer dimension that occupies said groove (see Fig. 2). Sherman et al. also disclose a longitudinal member that is at least partially

within the channel. The groove above also communicates with the lower portion of the member, and the retaining member is substantially circular (45) and may be considered planar (with at least a flat surface on top). The receiver member has a head larger than the diameter of the retaining portion (see Fig. 2) and the head fits substantially between the retaining member and upper opening portion.

Claims 44-47, 49-53, 55-57 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morrison et al. (US Patent 5,891,145). Morrison discloses an implant apparatus comprising a receiver member (30), with a channel in the middle (at the location of the longitudinal member (80) that is considered transverse, and an interior groove at the lower portion of the member. The retaining member is also substantially circular (Fig. 3) and is substantially planar (Fig. 8b), and is capable of insubstantial movement in a radial movement if secured, and has a bone anchor head that is larger than the inner dimension of the receiver member, which at also substantially surrounds the upper portion of the bone anchor (see near 50 in Fig. 2). Also, the closure member (70) may be a set screw.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman et al. '911 in view of Nichols (US Patent 6,090,111). Sherman et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a circular ring member that has a gap. Nichols discloses a circular ring member with a gap (42) that allows the ring to be contracted and secure the fastener in place (Col. 4, lines 7-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Sherman et al. having at least a split ring member in view of Nichols to better secure the fastener during use of the device allowing contraction.

Claims 54 and 57-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherman et al. '911 in view of Barker et al. (US Patent 6,280,442). Sherman et al. disclose the claimed invention except for a channel having reverse angle threads.

Barket et al. disclose reverse angle threads for better securing the receiver member (Col. 5, lines 15-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Sherman et al. having at least reverse angle threads in view of Barker et al. to better secure the receiver member in use of the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 44-58, and 69-76 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Swiger whose telephone number is 571-272-5557. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

5/23/07

JLS

EDUARDÓ C/ROBERT PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER